Public Document Pack



CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE (LIF) Overview & Scrutiny Committee Agenda

Date Tuesday 14th February 2023

Time 3.00 pm

Venue Crompton A Room, Civic Centre, Oldham, West Street, Oldham, OL1 1NL

Notes

- 1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST- If a Member requires advice on any item involving a possible declaration of interest which could affect his/her ability to speak and/or vote he/she is advised to contact Paul Entwistle or Liz Drogan at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.
- 2. CONTACT OFFICER for this agenda is Liz Drogan Tel. 0161 770 4705 or email elizabeth.frier@oldham.gov.uk
- 3. PUBLIC QUESTIONS Any Member of the public wishing to ask a question at the above meeting can do so only if a written copy of the question is submitted to the contact officer by 12.00 noon on Friday, 10th February 2023.
- 4. FILMING The Council, members of the public and the press may record/film/photograph or broadcast this meeting when the public and the press are not lawfully excluded. Any member of the public who attends a meeting and objects to being filmed should advise the Constitutional Services Officer who will instruct that they are not included in the filming.

Please note that anyone using recording equipment both audio and visual will not be permitted to leave the equipment in the room where a private meeting is held.

Recording and reporting the Council's meetings is subject to the law including the law of defamation, the Human Rights Act, the Data Protection Act and the law on public order offences.

Please also note the Public attendance Protocol on the Council's Website

https://www.oldham.gov.uk/homepage/1449/attending_council_meetings

MEMBERSHIP OF THE CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE (LIF) Councillors Chadderton, Jabbar and Roberts



1	Election	of	Chair
---	----------	----	-------

The Panel is asked to elect a Chair for the duration of the meeting.

- 2 Apologies For Absence
- 3 Declarations of Interest

To Receive Declarations of Interest in any Contract or matter to be discussed at the meeting.

4 Public Question Time

To receive Questions from the Public, in accordance with the Council's Constitution.

- 5 Minutes of Previous Meeting (Pages 1 2)
- The Local Improvement Fund February 2023 Fast Grant Project Recommendations (Pages 3 12)
- 7 The Local Improvement Fund February 2023 Capital Project Recommendations (Pages 13 20)

Public Document Pack Agenda Item 5 CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE (LIF) 06/12/2022 at 3.00 pm

Council

Present: Councillor Chadderton (Chair)

Councillors Jabbar and Roberts

1 ELECTION OF CHAIR

As the meeting did not have full membership the meeting was opened and adjourned until 8th December at 15.30.

RESOLVED – That Councillor Chadderton elected Chair for the duration of the meeting.

2 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

There were no apologies for absence received.

3 URGENT BUSINESS

There were no items of urgent business received.

4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest received.

5 **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

There were no public questions received.

6 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

RESOLVED- That the minutes of the meeting held on 13th December 2021 be approved as a correct record.

7 CONSIDERATION OF LIF BIDS 2022/23

Consideration was given to a report of the Assistant Chief Executive which sought consideration of the Local Investment Fund Advisory Panel recommendations on the allocation of Local Improvement Fund spend

The LIF Cabinet Sub-Committee were asked to review the final scores and comments provided by the advisory panel and agree which applications should receive funding.

RESOLVED - That:

The following requests for funding for the Central District were considered and agreed:

Central

1.	Alt Croft and Orchard	£1,997 agreed.					
2.	Bay Street Lighting Column	£2,500 agreed.					
3.	Westwood Alleygating	£3,500 agreed.					
The following requests for funding for East District were							
considered and agreed							

considered and agreed

1. Veg in the Park Poly Tunnel

£3,500 agreed.

2. Reaching Out

£1,300 deferred until

next round.

3.	Grotton Wildflower Meadow	£1,000 deferred until
	next round,	
4.	Ashes Lane Playgroup	£3,380 agreed.
5.	Wildlife Watch Grotton	£1,693 deferred until
next ro	ound	
6.	RAF Cadets Training Corps	£3,500 agreed.
7.	Leesfield wildlife garden	£1,271 rejected as
there \	was no evidence of the garden be	ing community
access	sible.	
8.	Lees Park Eco Hub	£500 agreed.
9.	Pearly Bank Clean Up	£3,195 agreed.
10.	SASL Get Sporty	£3,500 deferred until
next ro	ound.	
The fo	llowing requests for funding for So	outh District were
	lered and agreed	
1.	REEL CIC Holiday Activities	£1,000 agreed.
2.	Salvation Army Fitton Hill –	£3,000 deferred until
next ro	ound.	
3.	GMSAW - Mind@Peace	£3,500 deferred until
next ro	ound.	
4.	Coppice Community Hub	£3,500 deferred until
next ro	ound	
The fo	llowing requests for funding for No	orth District were
consid	lered and agreed	
1.	Royton Cricket Club	£1,000 agreed.
2.	Dogford Park Litter Bins	£1,860 rejected as
	tation would be starting on Parks	
	xt round of replacement litter bins	
3.	RCC Bowling Club	£1,000 rejected as the
applica	ation did not specify what activities	
	cient evidence that young people	
bowlin		
4.	Royton South Alleyways	£2,500 agreed.
The fo	llowing requests for funding for W	
	lered and agreed	
1.	Chadderton Together Feb Half To	erm Family Meal
	£1,000 agreed.	•

The meeting started at 3.30pm and finished at 4.40pm.



Report to CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE

The Local Improvement Fund – February 2023 Fast Grant Project Recommendations

Portfolio Holder:

Councillor Amanda Chadderton, Council Leader

Officer Contact: Shelley Kipling (Assistant Chief Executive)

Report Author: Guy Parker (Policy Manager)

01 February 2023

Reason for Decision

The Local Improvement Fund (LIF) in 2022/23 includes £100,000 allocated as "fast grants" for projects of £500 - £3,500 to improve Oldham's neighborhoods for the benefit of local residents. After the first round, £72,428 remained available for projects. The LIF Cabinet Sub-Committee are asked to review the final scores and comments provided by the advisory panel and agree which applications should receive funding.

Recommendation

Review the Advisory Panel recommendations and agree which should receive LIF funding.

1 Background

- 1.1 The Local Improvement Fund (LIF) was established in 2019 with the aim of supporting Districts to:
 - a. Encourage the active participation of residents and local organisations in improving the quality of life in the local area.
 - b. Improve community buildings, equipment and other facilities.
 - c. Engage with residents to prioritise schemes that matter to them.
- 1.2 In 2019/20 the LIF distributed £499,260 to twenty projects across Oldham that reflected the specific and diverse needs of people in our borough, ranging from an outdoor gym in St Mary's, to making a footbridge safer in Royton, to street scene improvements in Lees.
- 1.3 The LIF was included in the Council's budget again in 2021/22, with £500,000 made available. In 2021/22 a total of £467,807 was allocated to 18 projects across the borough, with the remaining £32,193 retained as a contingency fund to accommodate project overspend. Councillors were asked to focus on projects that would help Oldham's residents to recover from the pandemic.
- 1.4 In 2022/23 the LIF is divided into two, with £400,000 distributed as capital grants as in previous years. The remaining £100,000 is to be used for "fast grants" of between £500 and £3,500, distributed as revenue to support one of three key priorities:
 - Helping those adversely impacted by the cost-of-living crisis
 - Providing / improving facilities for children and young people
 - Supporting the Don't Trash Oldham campaign
- 1.5 The £100,000 must be completely allocated in the financial year 2022/23, with bidding for projects taking place over multiple rounds as needed.
- 1.6 In the first round, 22 bids were received with a total value of £49,196. Following a review by a cross-party advisory group, the LIF Cabinet Sub-Committee met on 6th December 2022 and agreed to fund projects worth £27,572, leaving £72,428 available.
- 1.7 One proposal from the first round, requesting funding for the replacement of litter bins in Dogford Park, was recommended for approval by the advisory group but was rejected by the sub-committee. This occurred because of what was subsequently identified as incomplete advice about the availability of alternative funding for the project. It is therefore recommended that this proposal, for the replacement of litter bins in Dogford Park in Royton, be approved for funding in this second round at a cost of £1,860.
- 1.8 Apart from the Dogford Park litter bins application, in the second round 52 applications were included, with a total potential funding value of £146,804. These

- applications included new bids and bids that were deferred from the first round pending additional information.
- 1.9 The cross-party advisory group has reviewed these bids and made recommendations as detailed in Appendix 1. Including the Dogford Park litter bin project, the group recommend funding 26 projects with a total value of £71,134.63. This paper asks the Cabinet Sub-Committee to review the bids and the recommendations of the advisory group and allocate funds accordingly.
- 1.10 If the sub-committee accept the advisory group's recommendations there will be £1,293.37 remaining in the funding pot. The advisory group recommend that this remaining funding be used as contingency funding for the approved projects, rather than opening a third round of proposals.

2 Options/Alternatives

- 2.1 Do Nothing This is not a preferable option as the funding needs to be allocated in this financial year.
- 2.2 Review the advisory group recommendations and agree which should receive LIF funding, including the Dogford Park litter bins proposal from the first round.

3 Recommended Option

3.1 Review the advisory group recommendations and agree which should receive LIF funding, including the Dogford Park litter bins proposal from the first round.

4 Financial Implications

- 4.1 There is a set aside of £100,000, which is a one-off revenue resource to be utilized in 2022/23 for LIF fast grants. £27,572 was allocated in the first round.
- 4.2 The second round of applications totaled £146,804 with £71,134.63 recommended to be approved. This would leave a balance to allocate for further rounds of £1,293.37.

(Nicola Harrop – Finance Manager)

5 Legal Services Comments

- 5.1 Following the consideration of recommendations from the Local Improvement Fund Advisory Panel, the purpose of the Local Improvement Fund Sub-Committee is to allocate funding from the Local Improvement Fund to projects across the borough which promote and pursue the aims and objectives of the individual District Priorities.
- 5.2 The Sub-Committee is a constituted meeting of the Council and is subject to the Council's procedure rules, Cabinet procedure rules and the access to information procedure rules, as set out in the Council's Constitution, unless stated otherwise in the terms of reference. (Colin Brittain, Assistant Borough Solicitor).

6 Co-operative Agenda

6.1 As a co-operative council, we are committed to reforming public services and encouraging innovation, leading to better outcomes and delivery. The Local Improvement Fund will ensure that Districts are best able to meet the needs of both elected members and the residents they represent. (Jonathan Downs, Corporate Policy lead).

7 Human Resources Comments

- 7.1 None
- 8 Risk Assessments
- 8.1 None
- 9 IT Implications
- 9.1 None
- 10 Property Implications
- 10.1 None
- 11 Procurement Implications
- 11.1 None
- 12 Environmental and Health & Safety Implications
- 12.1 None
- 13 Equality, community cohesion and crime implications
- 13.1 None
- 14 Equality Impact Assessment Completed?
- 14.1 No
- 15 Key Decision
- 15.1 No
- 16 Key Decision Reference
- 16.1 N/A
- 17 Background Papers

- 17.1 Establishing a Local Improvement Fund for Districts 24.06.2019
- 17.2 The Local Improvement Fund 2022/23 14.11.2022
- 17.3 Consideration of LIF Bids 2022/23 06.12.2022

18 Appendices

18.1 Appendix 1: Local Improvement Fund Fast Grants Round 2 – Advisory Panel Recommendations



Appendix 1: Local Improvement Fund Fast Grants Round 2 – Advisory Panel Recommendations

Date: 1st February 2023

Proposal	Funded requested	Recommendation	
Central			
Alt community improvement project	£3,500	REJECT	
2. Brookfield Street alleyway improvement	£1,825	FUND	
3. Trafalgar St Green Space Access Improvements	£3,478	FUND	
4. Westwood Cricket Club	£2,500	FUND	
5. Garforth St/Berriesfield Street Lighting Column	£3,500	FUND	
6. Community Gym Equipment – Millennium Centre	£3,500	FUND	
East			
7. Greenfield Primary Eco Classroom Tech	£3,500	REJECT	
8. Greenfield Primary Reception and Year 1 Tech	£3,500	REJECT	
9. Greenfield Primary KS2 Tech	£3,500	REJECT	
10. Friezland Primary Forest Upgrade	£3,483	REJECT	
11. Reaching Out	£1,300	FUND	
12. Grotton Wildflower Meadow £1,000 REJECT			
13. Wildlife Watch Grotton		REJECT	
14. Greenfield Cricket Club	£3,380	FUND	
15. The Boarhurst Centre	£3,432	REJECT	
16. Jellytots Greenfield	£721.50	REJECT	
17. Leesfield Scout Group	£3,500	FUND	
18. Forest school for young children in Denshaw	£3302.39	REJECT	
19. Max Force Muay Thai Boxing £		REJECT	
20. Stoneleigh Park Growing hub renewal	£3500	REJECT	
21. Stoneleigh Remembrance and Contemplation	£2800	FUND	
22. Westminster gardens path	£1950	REJECT	

23. Uppermill Cricket Club	£1390	FUND
24. White Tiger Jujitsu	£3,415.23	REJECT
25. Clarksfield Community Cleaning Hub	£3,000	FUND
26. Little Lights	£3,500	REJECT
27. St Edwards Brownies, Lees	£2,531. 15	REJECT
28. Saddleworth Cookery School	£3,500	REJECT
29. SASL Get Sporty	£3,265	REJECT
30. 10th Oldham Knolls Lane Scout Group	£2,840	REJECT
31. Denshaw Village Association	£1,456.39	REJECT
32. Sholver and Moorside Community Centre Accessibility	£838.85	FUND
33. Spindle Mews youth activities	£1,592.50	REJECT
South		
34. Coppice Community Hub	£3,500	FUND
35. GMSAW – Mind at Peace	£3,500	REJECT
36. Land of Vicarage St Clear Up	£3,500	FUND
37. Salvation Army Fitton Hill	£3,500	FUND
38. Hangout Youth Club	£3,500	REJECT
39. REEL CIC Holiday Provision	£3,460	FUND
40. GROWE Project Failsworth	£3,000	REJECT
41. Wildbrook Food and Growing Hub	£3,500	FUND
North		
42. Lindsay's Swim Academy	£3,000	REJECT
43. Active through Latics	£3,375.92	FUND
44. Fir Bank School Learning Shed	£2,385	REJECT
45. Dunwood Park Gardening Hub	£865	FUND
46. Friends Of Dunwood Park	£1,800	FUND
47. Crompton FC	£1,799.86	FUND
48. Bamford St Paths	£2,962	FUND
West		
49. Yuvanis Youth	£3,500	FUND
50. Full Circle CIC	£3,500	FUND

51. Fullwood Forest Adventures with Two Hoots	£3,470	REJECT
52. Bringing Living History to Chadderton	£3,000	FUND
Brought Forward from Round 1		
53. Dogford Park Litter Bins	£1,860	FUND

This page is intentionally left blank



Report to CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE

The Local Improvement Fund – February 2023 Capital Project Recommendations

Portfolio Holder:

Councillor Amanda Chadderton, Council Leader

Officer Contact: Shelley Kipling (Assistant Chief Executive)

Report Author: Jonathan Downs (Corporate Policy Lead)

16 February 2012

Reason for Decision

The Local Improvement Fund (LIF) in 2022/23 includes £400,000 allocated as "capital grants" for projects of £10,000 or more to improve Oldham's neighborhoods for the benefit of residents. The LIF Cabinet Sub-Committee are asked to review the final scores and comments provided by the advisory panel and agree which applications should receive funding.

Recommendation

Review the Advisory Panel recommendations and agree which should receive LIF Funding.

Reviewing District Working in Oldham

1 Background

- 1.1 In November 2022, Cabinet agreed to include the Local Improvement Fund (LIF) in the Council's budget within the existing capital programme, with £500,000 made available. The LIF is divided into two, with £400,000 distributed as capital grants. The remaining £100,000 is to be used for "fast grants" of between £500 and £3,500. This paper focuses on the administration of the £400,000 capital grants.
- 1.2 The deadline for expressions of interest to the capital fund was 9th December 2022. In total 47 proposals were received. The Advisory Panel were asked to score the applications, using the same scoring matrix as in previous years. This involved rating each element of the bid out of five according to the extent to which the proposal:
 - Addresses one or more key priority
 - Has clearly defined aims and objectives
 - Demonstrates the outcomes and benefits clearly
 - Evidences an understanding of project dependencies
 - Evidences resident consultation and support
 - Evidences project costing
- 1.3 The LIF Advisory Panel met in December and scored the expressions of interest, recommending that 31 of the expressions of interest be invited to submit full applications.
- 1.4 The deadline for receiving full applications was Friday 20th January 2023. In total we received 30 full applications for the capital fund.
- 1.5 The LIF advisory panel met in January 2023 and scored the full applications. It was noted that applications 23 and 29 were duplicates, so 23 was disregarded at the start the meeting. Following the moderation of scores, the panel agreed that anything scoring over 23 should automatically go through to the sub-committee. Anything that scored under 20 was automatically rejected. The panel then had a conversation about any application that scored between 20 23, rejecting or recommending the applications based on their collective analysis.
- 1.6 The Advisory Panel's recommendations and comments are included in Appendix 1. Please note, 16 applications have been recommended by the advisory panel totalling £410,735 of spend, with three projects recommended as potential reserves (highlighted in orange), totalling an additional £40,826 and taking the overall total to £451,561.
- 1.7 The LIF Cabinet Sub-Committee are asked to review the final recommendations and comments provided by the advisory panel and agree which applications should receive LIF funding, totalling no more than £400k.

2 Options/Alternatives

- 2.1 Do Nothing This is not a preferable option as the funding needs to be allocated in this financial year.
- 2.2 Review the full Advisory Panel recommendations and agree which should receive LIF Funding The purpose of the LIF is to support district priorities, allocating funding to projects that demonstrate they meet the required scoring criteria.

3 Recommended Option

3.1 Review the Advisory Panel recommendations and agree which should receive LIF Funding.

4 Financial Implications

- 4.1 The Capital Programme includes £0.400m to support the Local Improvement Fund for Districts as outlined in this report. Funding requests of £0.411m are recommended for approval, along with a reserve set of schemes of £0.041m subject to scheme amendments.
- 4.2 The recommended approach would exceed the funding available by £0.011m and the report does not identify a funding stream for the shortfall. As the scheme costs are based on estimates there may well be some underspending within the proposed schemes which would accommodate the approval of all of the recommended schemes. In addition, there may be some underspend from previously approved schemes. Officers will need to reconcile all prior year approval of grants in order to determine the scope of resources available. The schemes recommended in this report will be subject to close monitoring in order to ensure that there is no additional budgetary pressure
- 4.3 Given the funding allocation available, there should be no firm commitment to the £0.041m of schemes on the reserve list. The position might change if resources can be identified.
- 4.4 Colleagues are reminded that expenditure on all approved schemes must meet the statutory definition of expenditure for capital purposes. (Jit Kara)

5 Legal Services Comments

- 5.1 Following the consideration of recommendations from the Local Improvement Fund Advisory Panel, the purpose of the Local Improvement Fund Sub-Committee is to allocate funding from the Local Improvement Fund to projects across the borough which promote and pursue the aims and objectives of the individual District Priorities.
- The Sub-Committee is a constituted meeting of the Council and is subject to the Council's procedure rules, Cabinet procedure rules and the access to information procedure rules, as set out in the Council's Constitution, unless stated otherwise in the terms of reference. (Colin Brittain, Assistant Borough Solicitor)

6. Co-operative Agenda

- As a co-operative council, we are committed to reforming public services and encouraging innovation, leading to better outcomes and delivery. The Local Improvement Fund will ensure that Districts are best able to meet the needs of both elected members and the residents they represent. (Jonathan Downs Corporate Policy Lead).
- 7 Human Resources Comments
- 7.1 None
- 8 Risk Assessments
- 8.1 None
- 9 IT Implications
- 9.1 None
- 10 **Property Implications**
- 10.1 None
- 11 Procurement Implications
- 11.1 None
- 12 Environmental and Health & Safety Implications
- 12.1 None
- 13 Equality, community cohesion and crime implications
- 13.1 None
- 14 Equality Impact Assessment Completed?
- 14.1 No
- 15 Key Decision
- 15.1 No
- 16 **Key Decision Reference**
- 16.1 N/A
- 17 Background Papers
- 17.1 Establishing a Local Improvement Fund for Districts 24.06.2019

- 17.2 The Local Improvement Fund 2022/23 14.11.2022
- 18 **Appendices**
- 18.1 Appendix 1 Local Improvement Fund Capital Grants February 2023 Advisory Panel Recommendations



Bid Numbe	Bid Name	▼ Am	ount Requested	Average 💌	Recommenda	tio 🔻 Fund	ing Allocate	Reserve Projects	Comments
1	Bolton Street Muga Refurb	£	35,000.00	24.10	Fund	£	35,000.00		Over 23 - Recommended for approval
2	Berriesfield Outdoor Gym	£	29,994.30	24.00	Fund	£	29,994.00		Over 23 - Recommended for approval
3	Gresham Walk Recreational Area	£	12,600.00	23.20	Fund	£	12,600.00		Over 23 - Recommended for approval
4	Highfield Street Alleyway Play	£	10,411.00	22.60	Reserve			£ 8,026.00	The Advisory Panel felt that the project had
									merit, though some concern was raised
									about cost vs. impact. It was agreed that this
									should be recommended as a reserve
									project, though the panem recommended a
									reduced funding allocation in line with the
									proposals original EOI.
_	No. 14 - Consul Park at Park Park Park		12.000.00	22.60	D			7 000 00	A
-	Widdop Street Pocket Park lighting	£	13,900.00		Reserve		22.750.00	£ 7,800.00	As above.
6	Holts Multi Use Games Area (MUGA) revival	£	33,750.00	22.75	Fund	£	33,750.00		The Advisory Panel recognised that the
									proposal would bring in additional funding
									for Oldham, so recommended the proposal
	7 Changleigh Dayl Play Area	f	50,000.00	10.40	Don't Fund				for approval. Under 20 - Not recommended for approval
,	7 Stoneleigh Park Play Area B Dobcross Playing Field	f F	25,000.00		Don't Fund				Under 20 - Not recommended for approval
	9 Waterhead Fruit Trail	£	30,000.00		Fund	£	30,000.00		The Advisory Panel noted that the proposal
-	vaterileau Fruit Itali	-	30,000.00	22.60	runu	L	30,000.00		would enhance the area, supporting healthy
									eating and encouraging physical activity.
Ō									eating and encodinging physical activity.
Page 10	Oldham Sports Community Club Non Turf Pitch	£	12,000.00	23.60	Fund	£	12,000.00		Over 23 - Recommended for approval
D 11	Sholver Millennium Green Trust	£	30,194.20		Fund	£	30,194.00		Over 23 - Recommended for approval
→ 12	Creating comfort areas in community centres	£	15,629.00		Don't Fund				Under 20 - Not recommended for approval
O 13	Cooper Street road safety	£	43,000.00	18.90	Don't Fund				Under 20 - Not recommended for approval
14	Tackling the Rabbit Warren	£	10,000.00		Don't Fund				Under 20 - Not recommended for approval
15	Wendlebury Green Park	£	25,000.00	18.20	Don't Fund				Under 20 - Not recommended for approval
16	Shaw Skateboard Park	£	37,995.00	22.60	Fund	£	37,995.00		The Advisory Panel felt that the project
									would bring significant benefit to young
									people in the area, refurbishing a
									community asset, while making the site
									safer for the people using it.
17	Dogford Park Improvements	£	28,500.00	20.30	Reserve			£ 25,000.00	The Advisory Panel appreciated the scope of
									the improvements proposed, but felt that
									the poppy field was an unnecessary
									expensive addition. They agreed to propose
									the project as a reserve, without the
									proposed poppy field.
18	<u> </u>	£	20,000.00		Don't Fund				Under 20 - Not recommended for approval
19	institution the carety contents	£	24,890.00		Don't Fund				Under 20 - Not recommended for approval
20	Royton Park Grow Hub	£	12,660.00	19.00	Don't Fund				Under 20 - Not recommended for approval

21	Healthy and Active Royton South	£	25,552.00	22.60	Fund	£	25,552.00		The Advisory Panel agreed that the project would have significant benefits to the health and wellbeing of the local community, so recommended the project for funding.
22	Outdoor Gym Equipment (Dogford Park)	£	25,552.80	19.00	Don't Fund				Under 20 - Not recommended for approval
23			25,553.55						
24	Pretoria Rd – Speed/Traffic Calming	£	10,000.00	22.90	Fund	£	10,000.00		No further comments - recommended for funding.
25	Limeside Park Improvements	£	38,650.00	24.40	Fund	£	38,650.00		Over 23 - Recommended for approval
26	Renovating Victoria Street Pocket Park	£	10,000.00	21.80	Fund	£	10,000.00		The Advisory Panel agreed that the project would have positive community impact for a relatively small investment.
27	Werneth Park MUGA	£	35,000.00	22.40	Fund	£	35,000.00		The Advisory Panel recognised that the proposal would bring in additional funding for Oldham, so recommended the proposal for approval.
₩ 28	Bringing Biodiversity to Young People	£	10,000.00	23.75	Fund	£	10,000.00		Over 23 - Recommended for approval
Page 20		£	35,000.00	22.20	Fund	£	35,000.00		The Advisory Panel felt this was a strong application, demonstrating clear community benefit and recommended the project for funding.
30	Refreshing Rugby League in Oldham	£	25,000.00	24.20	Fund	£	25,000.00		Over 23 - Recommended for approval
		£	715,278.30						
					TOTAL ALLOCATED		£410,735	£ 40,826.00	
					Including Reserve F	Projects		£ 451,561.00	